

70 points = 140%

**Assignment 1 (6+ 5+ 4+ 5 points):**

- a) Specify (!) and describe three *significantly* different algorithms for sorting  $n$  given keys, together with their asymptotic computational worst-case costs. (No proofs required.)
- b) Set up and justify a recurrence for the number  $T(n)$  of steps performed by the recursive sorting algorithm **stoogeSort** shown below when called with **left**=0 and **right**= $n-1$ .

```
1 procedure stoogeSort(int array[], int left, int right)
2 if array[left]>array[right] then swap(array[left],array[right]) fi
3 if (right - left + 1) < 3 then return fi
4 int third := ( right - left + 1 ) / 3 // rounding down
5 stoogeSort(array, left, right-third)
6 stoogeSort(array, left+third, right)
7 stoogeSort(array, left, right-third)
8 endproc
```

- c) Let **array**[]= (3, 6, 5, 2, 1, 4), initially, and consider the call **stoogeSort**(**array**, 0, 5). Write the contents of the array and variables after execution of (i) line #4, (ii) line #5, (iii) line #6, and (iv) line #7. (Do not expand the recursive calls themselves, though; instead peruse the fact that **stoogeSort** correctly sorts arrays of size up to 4...)
- d) Prove that the asymptotic growth of any non-decreasing  $f: [1; \infty) \rightarrow [1; \infty)$  satisfying  $f(n) = b \cdot f(n/a)$  for all  $n \geq a$  is  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\ln(b)/\ln(a)})$ , if  $1 < a < b$  are fixed.

Reminder from calculus:  $a^x = e^{x \cdot \ln(a)}$ ,  $\log_y(a) = \ln(a)/\ln(y)$ ,  $\ln(3)/\ln(1.5) \approx 2.71$

**Assignment 2 (5+ 5 points):**

- a) Explain in few sentences, and give examples demonstrating, the differences between (i) a program/code, (ii) an algorithm, and (iii) a heuristic.
- b) Briefly explain the difference between (i) cost of an algorithm and (ii) computational complexity of a problem, for instance by comparing Assignments 1a) and 1b).

**Problem 3 (4+ 5+ 6+ 4+ 6 points):**

- a) What is the *worst-case* cost (=number of bit flips) of once incrementing a binary counter containing any integer between 0 and  $n-1$ , asymptotically as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Justify your answer by (i) exhibiting an example where that many bit flips do occur and (ii) by proving that more bit flips cannot occur.
- b) Analyze the *amortized* cost of a binary counter with operation **INC**. That is, when counting in binary from 0 to  $n$ , determine the total number of bit flips, divided by  $n$  asymptotically as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Again, justify your answer!
- c) Now analyze the *amortized* cost of a binary counter with both operations **INC** and **DEC**. That is, determine the total number of bit flips, divided by  $n$ , incurred in the worst case by any combination of  $n$  calls to **INC** and/or **DEC** asymptotically as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Justify! (Initially the counter contains zero, and decrementing zero returns zero again...)
- d) Analyze the *worst-case* cost of the following algorithm, asymptotically as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  
Given an  $n$ -tuple  $(b_1 \dots b_n)$  of bits, scan for the (index  $j$  of the) first bit that is non-zero; in case all  $b_j$  are zero, count to  $2^n - 1$  and stop.
- e) Now analyze its asymptotic *average* cost. Justify your answers!

**Problem 4 (5+ 5+ 5 points):** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a randomized algorithm solving the decision problem  $L$  in time  $t(n)$  with *one-sided* error  $\frac{1}{2}$  independently of  $n$ : On inputs  $x \notin L$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  always correctly reports **false**; but on inputs  $x \in L$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  might also report **false** with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

- a) Design and analyze an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  that, by repeating  $\mathcal{A}$  an appropriate (which?) number  $N$  of times, errs with probability  $\leq 2^{-100-n}$ , where  $n$  denotes the (known) length of  $x$ .
- b) Analyze the (i) *worst* and (ii) *expected* cost of the following randomized 'algorithm':  
Flip a fair coin. If it comes out **heads**, stop; otherwise repeat.
- c) Prove  $\sum_n n \cdot p^n = p/(1-p)^2$  for all  $|p| < 1$ . **Hint:** Cancel one  $p$  and compare anti-derivatives.